

“Perfect Sense”

**The Full "Conventional Wisdom" Narrative
of the PSU "Scandal"**

John Ziegler

January 2015

"Perfect Sense": The Full "Conventional Wisdom" Narrative of the PSU "Scandal"

Part 1 of 3

Of the many very frustrating aspects of spending three years trying to tell the real story about what did and did NOT happen at Penn State with regard to Joe Paterno and Jerry Sandusky, the most aggravating is that the "other" side has never even articulated their full version of what they think/pretend happened.

I have continuously said that I can tell a perfectly rational narrative about what really occurred, while the other side can't even come close. In fact, no one from the other side has ever even tried. They didn't even do so at Sandusky's trial (the prosecution's closing was a hot mess), in the Freeh Report, or in "hero reporter" Sara Ganim's book, which, very oddly, never even materialized.

Since the evidence is supposedly so overwhelmingly on their side and the "conventional wisdom" is widely accepted, you would think this would be incredibly easy to do, but apparently it is not. So instead of waiting for someone from the other side to finally tell a remotely full story, I have decided to simply do it for them.

What follows is as straight a telling (with some occasional sarcasm thrown in, only when absolutely necessary) as I can muster of what the prosecution/media must believe actually happened here for their version of this whole story to have a full and complete narrative. For the record, I don't think anyone from the other side really believes this is what happened, mostly because I don't sense they have ever even thought it through, or have remotely enough knowledge to do so, even if they had the inclination.

*So, according to what we have been told by people who think you are a "lunatic" (as I have been, among other many things, called) if you don't accept **their** version of events, here is the story of the "Penn State Scandal"....*

=====

Jerry Sandusky, who was never abused as a child in any way, had a great relationship with his father, and never showed any signs of being a homosexual, is a criminal pedophile who sexually molested, exclusively, older boys for most, if not all, of his adult life.

He is able to coach big-time college football for Joe Paterno, the man most associated with moral character in the profession, for 28 years while engaging in this horrific behavior (including abusing his adopted son in a very crowded/small home) without anyone having any idea, whatsoever. Finally, in 1998, Jerry, at the age of 54, slips up and determines he just can't control himself anymore. He decides to let his demons come out in a Penn State shower in the middle of the day while many others had easy access to where he was.

Jerry picks up a boy in the shower and "bear hugs" him while allegedly lifting him up to wash some shampoo from his hair. We now know that, while there was not direct sexual contact or signs of arousal, this was

clearly an act of “grooming,” which was nipped in the bud by a mother who became immediately suspicious (which had absolutely nothing to do with her being, coincidentally, desperate for money).

For the first time in 28 years at Penn State, Jerry Sandusky has finally picked the wrong boy to “groom.”

Because of the complaining mother, the police then put Jerry under surveillance. It is later reported that, while he did not admit to anything remotely criminal (he must have known he was under surveillance and he is clearly a very smooth talker who would never make an accidentally incriminating remark), he allegedly says something to the effect that he wishes he were dead for having caused trouble for the boy (who he was incorrectly told had cancer) or his family. The fact that there is no legitimate record of this statement is irrelevant because proving these types of cases is really hard.

The police investigation continues with an impromptu interview with Jerry while he is working out. Clearly intimidated by the stature of the legendary defensive coordinator who had helped Penn State win a national title twelve years before and who had already discussed his retirement with Joe Paterno, the police tell Jerry that the allegation is no big deal in comparison to those they normally scrutinize and that they expect the investigation to be wrapped up shortly.

This is obviously because the authorities care more about protecting a semi-famous football coach than they do children. They also tell him not to shower with boys anymore, though it is doubtful they gave him an age definition of “boy” (also, it should be pointed out that highly successful people are never so arrogant as to disregard such advice when they know they have done nothing wrong).

Ray Gricar, a district attorney known for being tough on Penn State, decides not to press charges and Jerry receives a notice that the allegation was “unfounded” (Gricar will go missing seven years later, which makes it totally illogical for that event to be at all related, but it still somehow shows just how nefarious this whole scandal really was). We know this was the wrong call because a doctor who never spoke to Sandusky wrote a report saying she thought this was quite possibly the act of a pedophile, while another analyst wrote one saying that it was not.

According to Jerry, Joe Paterno never even mentions the episode to him, which he is convinced would be highly unusual for Joe if the hands-on head coach thought that there is any sort of a problem, or had even remote knowledge of the matter. Clearly Joe must have had no need to speak to Jerry because it was clear Sandusky was a pedophile and that a cover-up must immediately commence to protect the football program from negative publicity.

Jerry then maintains a 13-year incident-free relationship with the boy (later known as Victim 6), evidently because he is trying to make sure that he covers his tracks for the grooming episode that the DA thought wasn't even worthy of a charge.

It turns out that Jerry is extremely good at covering his tracks with Victim 6. The very next year, when on the way to his last home game in 1999, the mother flags Jerry down in a parking lot and begs him to get her son into Beaver Stadium. Jerry was out of tickets but finagles a deal to get him on the sideline. The sexual tension/frustration Jerry must feel that day with Victim 6 so close to him has to have been very distracting and obviously helps lead to a loss to Michigan.

Twelve years later, Victim 6 is still sending Jerry very loving text messages on Father's Day and Thanksgiving, but this is obviously because of "victim compliance" and the remarkable power of the "pixie dust" which Jerry sprinkled on him during that ten-second noncriminal episode in the shower back in 1998.

After the 1998 incident, Penn State now, according to Louis Freeh and the NCAA, knows that Jerry is a pedophile (even though the authorities do not). Graham Spanier is overseas while he is cc'd on two very innocuous emails (in the pre-smart phone era) regarding the conclusion of that investigation. He never responds to these emails, but he is now obviously aware that Jerry is a pedophile.

Despite this, Spanier does nothing, though he later meets with Sandusky, for the first and only time, to discuss, and decline, starting a football program on one of PSU's satellite campuses (Spanier clearly took the meeting because of all the leverage the pedophile had over him).

Even though Joe Paterno has made written notes prior to the Victim 6 episode indicating that Jerry's retirement is in the works, Paterno is so unmoved by the seemingly startling revelation that Jerry is a pedophile that he has him coach the 1998 season. Not only does he let him coach that season, he then has him coach *again* during the 1999 season, after which Jerry finally retires after somehow negotiating a sweet retirement package (signed by the same person who would sign the NCAA consent decree). Sandusky pulls this off even though his employers know he is a pedophile and therefore hold all the cards. His retirement is greeted with much acclaim and praise in the completely duped news media.

During Jerry's last two years at Penn State, obviously because he just can't control himself any more (despite his advanced age and medically low testosterone levels), he begins to suddenly get extremely reckless in his pedophilic behaviors.

Completely undeterred by the reality he was recently almost charged, Jerry all of a sudden decides to miss dozens of practices (according to the 2012 testimony of the boy later known as Victim 4, the first accuser to take the stand against Sandusky at trial) which, somehow, not one person in the Penn State football program notices or remembers ever having happened, even after the "cover-up" is blown. At the same time, Jerry finally concludes, after all of these years, that bowl trips are a great opportunity to molest kids.

After all, only everyone in the program knows that the kid is on a bowl trip and staying with Jerry and Dottie. As for Dottie, her presence with them in the same room (and, according to Victim 4, her witnessing of his molestation) is no big deal. Obviously she is in on all of this because: she hates children (thus the many adopted and foster children she takes into her home), the life of the wife of an assistant football coach is too glamorous to jeopardize, and, even though her nickname is "Sarge," she is obviously so intimidated by Jerry that she lets him get away with raping boys.

The boy in this case never says anything about this for twelve years and doesn't claim "sex" until after, according to an accidental recording, his lawyer conspires with investigators to lie to him. This hesitation to tell the "truth" was clearly because of "victim compliance," a force so strong that over a decade after suffering horrendous abuse at the hands of Jerry (and with Dottie having witnessed it) he brought his now former girlfriend and son to Jerry's house for a dinner so friendly that a non-family observer thought Victim 4 wanted Jerry to be the grandfather for his child.

Clearly emboldened by having been able to rape a boy on a bowl trip with his wife in the room, and now with lots of free time because of his retirement, in late November of 2000 (actual dates are so inconvenient for the prosecution to have to come up with as these types of cases are so hard to prove!) Jerry then takes a still unidentified boy into the Penn State lockers and molests him. He is witnessed doing this by a janitor.

The fact that no one reports this contemporaneously (because obviously they were fearful of the horrible Penn State football culture protecting a *former* coach by firing whistleblowers, even though nothing like that had never previously happened), the witness never testifies due to dementia, and the victim somehow never comes forward to testify or to collect his money, should all be considered irrelevant details obsessed over only by football-crazed “JoeBots” who don’t care about children.

Just a month after this incredibly reckless and horrific act, Jerry receives a contract to be the head coach at the University of Virginia at the very end of 2000. The job offer is never technically rescinded, but just one day after Jerry’s highly publicized second interview, Virginia suddenly hires UVA Alum and current New York Jets head coach Al Groh.

Obviously Jerry was ditched at the last moment because there were rumors about him being a pedophile. Jay Paterno was a former UVA graduate assistant who married a UVA Alum. So either (very strangely) Jay really had it out for UVA by not telling them of this impending disaster which they mysteriously avoided on their own (perhaps the PSU janitors warned them after seeing the story of his job offer in the paper so soon after what they “witnessed,” but just never mentioned that), or he was somehow the source of this “information.” This of course would mean that the son of Joe Paterno is both truly evil and a pathological liar with a death wish, which, given what we now know about his father, seems totally reasonable.

However it happened, it surely couldn’t just be that Groh lost his last three games of the NFL season to barely miss the playoffs and suddenly got a keen interest in coaching his alma mater because he knew he was going to get fired from the Jets. That is just way too simple (though the fact that Jets quarterback Vinnie Testeverde gets sweet revenge for Sandusky’s defense intercepting him five times in the 1986 national championship game is rather ironic)!

The fact that Sandusky comes within a whisker of getting the biggest job of his career at the end of 2000 is made even stranger by the reality that, just days later, Jerry’s autobiography “Touched” is released. According to an interview given to CBS by the prosecutors, they clearly believe that the book is Jerry’s subconscious (or maybe even conscious) attempt to confess to his crimes. After all, the title is a dead give away, as is the now notorious photo in it of Jerry with four of the six “known” accusers mentioned in the grand jury presentment.

This is so obvious, right?! Surely he will officially confess to his crimes once he is convicted and the ruse is up. The fact that the co-author of this book is *still* completely convinced Jerry is innocent is simply a testament to Sandusky’s incredible powers of brainwashing (which were simply mysteriously absent during his infamous Bob Costas phone interview).

So Jerry, the brilliant criminal mastermind who has been able to cover his tracks for at least 30 years, simultaneously decides to publicly “confess” to his crimes while still pursuing very high-profile jobs, all at the exact moment that he has retired from Penn State and no longer has the full protection of the program

or any leverage over the media. Damn, this guy is good! Clearly Paterno family hired-gun Jim Clemente *underestimated* him when he put him in the top 1% of all pedophiles!

But Jerry Sandusky was just getting started!

Then, just six weeks later, Jerry decides to take nearly 14-year old Allan Myers (later know as Victim 2) into the Penn State showers on a Friday night, scene infamously witnessed by Mike McQueary. Of course, according to the prosecution, it may not have been Allan Myers. They would never call him to testify but also voiced no objection to him identifying himself as Victim 2 for the purposes of getting millions of dollars from Penn State.

Presumably, Jerry and Dottie Sandusky conspire to lie about who was in the shower that night and would much later coerce Myers into coming forward with a story which makes absolutely no sense if it were concocted. Remarkably, the Sanduskys and Myers (a Marine at the time) will apparently be so positive that the “real” Victim 2 won’t ever come forward that they all feel confident they can pull this scam off despite the massive risk involved (perhaps the real “Victim 2” was murdered along with Ray Gricar?).

Remember, Jim Clemente tells us that Sandusky is an “All-Pro” pedophile.

Of course this is all very reasonable. After all, it’s not like the McQueary episode got a lot of publicity or that there was enough money to entice the “real” victim to come forward. Clearly the “real” Victim 2 either didn’t hear about the McQueary incident or just decided to let Jerry get away with having raped him and Allan with taking his multi-million dollar payday.

You can clearly see how this all makes perfect sense, right?

Regardless, Jerry, the criminal mastermind, decides to “rape,” “assault,” “molest,” “fondle” (depending on what is most convenient for proving the case at any given moment; these cases are hard to prove, remember) Myers in a semi-public place and chooses to do so in the only portion of the large shower which would be immediately visible to anyone who might come into the locker room unannounced.

As fate would have it, Mike McQueary, apparently “inspired” by watching the first 30-45 minutes of the movie “Rudy” on television, comes into the locker-room area and, after hearing sounds which he associates with “sex” (hearing something is always more reliable than actually seeing it, especially when it takes almost ten years for you to testify about it) he peeks for “two or three seconds” into the very narrow opening into the shower through a reflection in a mirror. He then sees what he thinks is Jerry raping Myers. Or, maybe he was just fondling him. He’s just not sure.

Whatever it was, it was so horrible for Mike that, in the words of lead prosecutor Frank Fina, it “shatters his universe to its core” (which is apparently a life-changing event so powerful that it makes you forget the date, month and year in which it occurred).

This is why the young, tall, muscular McQueary panics and decides to: 1) not punch the lights out of the naked and defenseless, aging, pedophile 2) not remove Myers from the situation 3) not identify Myers (or even get his age remotely correct) 4) not speak to Sandusky 5) most amazingly (according to both Sandusky and Myers), not even make his presence fully known to either of them.

Now if McQueary really was so panic-prone you may wonder how he ever played quarterback in front of 90,000 people and a national television audience. What you don't understand is that witnessing a sexual assault is SO much more difficult than that. It has a magical effect on people (much like a pedophile has on victims he has never actually sexually abused, like "Victim 6"). It can even cause you to continue to needlessly interact with a pedophile for many years (like at charity golf tournaments and football games). This phenomenon should probably have a name like, "witness compliance."

McQueary then, instead of contacting the police to report a crime, calls his father. He then meets with his father and his dad's boss, a doctor. Neither one of them tells him to go to the police and neither one of them reports the episode to any authority. All of the three misremember the date/month/year of the event when finally asked about it ten years later. However, if you think any of this is inconsistent with someone just having seen a boy being raped then you just don't understand the nature of child sex abuse, you are likely part of a "football culture" problem, and you probably hate children.

So, with no apparent thought of going to the police, McQueary calls Joe Paterno the next day and asks to see him. Paterno allegedly tells the then graduate assistant that "if this is about a job, don't bother coming over, I don't have one for you" (though Sue Paterno, who was there that day, insisted to me that this "never happened," probably because she just didn't know her husband that well). The fact that two days earlier the Penn State wide receiver coaching position (which Mike would end up getting several seasons later) had just opened up is purely a coincidence and in no way should be used to suggest that it may have played a role in why Mike's father had the lowly graduate assistant go get valuable face-time with Joe Paterno to play the good "boy scout" and nark on a former coach the head coach didn't particularly like.

During Mike's short discussion with Paterno, he is very bashful about telling the elderly legend exactly what he witnessed (which seems particularly odd because Joe somehow remembers, ten years later, a version of the conversation which is *stronger* than what anyone else recalls Mike telling them). While Paterno thanks him for the information and makes no effort whatsoever to keep him quiet or offer him the exact open job he would give him years later, the wheels of a cover-up are already clearly in motion in Paterno's mind (Though, weirdly, McQueary, when he sues Penn State over a decade later, makes no mention of being forced to be part of a cover-up, costing him millions of dollars and loads of positive media coverage. Meanwhile, just as strangely, Frank Fina, the lead prosecutor in the Sandusky case, later says Paterno wasn't involved in a cover-up).

Even though it may initially appear that Paterno responded in exactly the way that he was supposed to, Joe was required to live up to a much higher standard than the "minimum requirement." He clearly should have, as he would sort of (not really) famously say almost eleven years later, "done more." What that actually means is apparently not for any of his critics to say or even suggest because Joe Paterno, being a God of morality, should have been able to magically figure that one out on his own, even if no else can seem to do so many years later.

What Paterno does do is immediately (sooner than even his self deprecating recollection ten years later suggests) inform his superior, athletic director Tim Curley, and this causes Gary Schultz, who oversees the campus police, to get involved. The Penn State counsel and president Spanier are also brought into the process almost right away. This was done not because they were all making sure they were following proper

procedure, but obviously because bringing in, on the record, as many powerful people with public reputations to protect as possible is obviously the very best way to orchestrate a cover-up.

It takes almost two weeks for McQueary to meet with Curley and Schultz. This is clearly because Penn State didn't care at all about the allegation or the victim and not in any way an indication that maybe the initial report was so benign that it didn't require a massive amount of urgency. After all, thanks to the 1998 episode they (except, according to Fina, Joe Paterno) already knew that Jerry was a pedophile who needed to be protected, so what difference was a couple of more weeks going to matter?

One of the more interesting elements of this meeting is that McQueary later testifies that he thought of going to Schultz as if he was going to the police. He bases this on what he witnessed when he saw Schultz "in charge" during "riot" on campus. Weirdly, that riot occurs well AFTER his meeting with Schultz. However, this should not be seen as an indication that McQueary's memory is lacking in credibility.

After the meeting with McQueary, it is obvious that Curley goes into cover-up mode with the only witness. Not only does he not make any mention to McQueary of him perhaps getting a full-time job, but just days later Curley signs off on a press release which needlessly uses Mike as the only person (other than Paterno) in the football program quoted about a retiring equipment manager. This is clearly done so that Mike might be as accessible as possible to the press just as the cover-up begins, and thus throws the media off the scent. This is quite brilliant, really.

After the meeting with McQueary, Curley asks Jerry Sandusky to come into the office without telling him what it is about. He asks Jerry about what was happening in the shower a few weeks before when a "male or female witness" saw him there with a boy (since Tim already knows Jerry to be a pedophile, this very formalized "by the book" questioning must have been particularly odd on several levels).

At first, Jerry does not know to what Curley is referring (clearly because he is trying to lie about having raped a boy in the shower and not because the episode wasn't remotely memorable to him, especially since he didn't even know McQueary was there). Later, Jerry does recall what happened (probably because he suddenly decided that an outright lie was better than an "I don't remember," even though Penn State already knows he is a pedophile) and offers for Curley to call the boy to prove that nothing horrible happened.

However, since Penn State already knows he is a pedophile, this may seem odd. Especially when Jerry needlessly tells Dottie that Curley has asked him about a shower episode with Allan Myers, which only makes sense if Dottie already knows Jerry is a pedophile and he is just bragging about it to her (and therefore has nothing to be embarrassed about).

Curley, obviously because it's common knowledge that Sandusky is pedophile (and not because he is convinced by Jerry's story and the benign nature of Mike's report that taking further action isn't needed), decides not to bother calling Allan Myers. After all, that could increase his liability for when he decides to sweep the whole thing under the rug in order to avoid bad publicity. However, Curley, who has a son about Allan's age, is strangely unbothered by Sandusky being able to roam free, probably because he hates kids, including his own (at least he loves football more than his own son, though it's unclear how turning in a former coach at this point would even impact football, but thus is the "football culture" at Penn State).

The process of covering this episode up is, like all good cover-ups, conducted almost entirely via emails on a state-owned server. These are emails which Schultz himself would both help preserve and find many years later, which, of course, makes total sense within the context of a cover-up.

As these emails later released over a decade later in the Freeh Report would clearly prove, in the middle of this investigative process there is a change in the original tentative plan to report Sandusky to state agencies. However, Curley "after speaking with Joe" decides that is not the best course of action. Obviously, we can read Curley's mind in this one sentence written in 2001 and determine, with moral certainty, that Paterno must have told him that this whole thing needed to be kept quiet as to not risk bad publicity.

Curley is clearly suggesting to the president of the university, via state-owned email, that they need to engage in a cover-up of an overt crime against a child. He obviously feels confident doing this because he has simply vaguely implied that Joe Paterno, the God of State College, agrees with him. There is therefore no chance that Spanier will strongly object and decide to fire Curley for merely suggesting such a thing. It is clear what is really going on. They fear bad publicity for their football program. There is simply no other rational explanation (except maybe that Mike didn't tell them about at sex act because none happened, but only lunatics believe that).

As proven by the Rashard Casey episode the previous year (where Paterno was lambasted for sticking by his starting quarterback who was charged with assaulting a police officer and later exonerated), Paterno cared so very deeply about avoiding bad publicity. Also, as the Syracuse basketball Bernie Fine scandal would show, only a coach of Jim Boeheim's stature could possibly survive the revelation that a long-time assistant coach might be a pedophile.

Similarly, when Graham Spanier writes that not reporting to the state would be the "humane" thing to do but would also leave them "vulnerable for not having reported it if the message isn't heard," the former child abuse victim is clearly meaning that he couldn't care less about a child being raped (and not that he is concerned about inevitable public relations ramifications on Sandusky's reputation and The Second Mile charity for an episode which didn't seem like a big deal, or that this decision couldn't be misinterpreted in hindsight, which of course we all know now could never happen).

Oddly, the Penn State cover up takes a seemingly bizarre turn when they also decide that they *will* report this episode to Jerry's boss, The Second Mile charity. This meant they were putting The Second Mile under a profound obligation to report Jerry, or at least get rid of him, if the allegations were criminal. This is unless of course The Second Mile was in on the cover-up, something no one involved has alleged and for which, despite a massive investigation and huge publicity surrounding the case, there is not even one shred of direct evidence supporting that conclusion.

However, it's perfectly fine if you still want to publicly blame The Second Mile because no one has a vested interest in defending them publicly any more. Though, if they were in on the cover-up they have to be the first organization in the history of man, to engage in what would have to be a massive conspiracy, be disbanded, and, despite a huge media thirst to make a hero out of anyone who might be the whistleblower, still have every single person keep totally silent. This should be called, "Co-conspirator Compliance."

Perhaps Penn State lies to The Second Mile about what McQueary had reported. After all, something like that could never get back to them in a small town like State College and since The Second Mile already knows Allan Myers very well, there is no chance that they might find out what really occurred and then turn it back on Penn State (but wouldn't Curley have at least bothered to call Allan Myers if he was at all concerned about going this route? Hmmm).

Regardless, how Penn State thought this path would help with the cover-up is still more than a bit confusing, but it is one of many areas of this story which the news media seem to have cordoned off with a "Do Not Enter" sign. How there is a cover-up at Penn State but not at the closely connected The Second Mile is a bit perplexing, but I am sure they know best and would never purposely ignore an important fact just because it contradicted their entire narrative.

We should trust them. After all, many of them are famous-ish.

Now clearly having dodged a second bullet from Penn State, Sandusky plays it very safe for the next several years (wait, didn't his book indicate he wanted to get caught?!) with no more incidents being brought to anyone's immediate attention.

Allan Myers for some reason asks Jerry to stand in as his father for his senior football game and to speak at his high school graduation which, obviously because he is afraid of Myers reporting him, Sandusky indeed does. Allan even ends up living with the Sanduskys for three months while attending Penn State and drives over ten hours to attend the funeral of Jerry's mother. He even invites Jerry and Dottie to his wedding (bizarrely, the picture of Jerry and Allan together from that event is used in the internet version of Sandusky's retirement letter from The Second Mile, which must have been more of Jerry just trying to get finally caught).

"Victim compliance" is apparently the most powerful force in the universe and is obviously not to be questioned by non "experts."

After the McQueary episode the only apparent "incident" for the next several years will involve a boy later known as Victim #5. This happens later in 2001 (or maybe 2002), but does not involve a "sex" act. The fact that this person originally testified that it occurred in 1998 and at trial still didn't seem to have a clue when it actually occurred, and that the prosecution (as well as his lawyer) had a huge incentive for it to have happened *after* McQueary, are all irrelevant coincidences. Jerry and Dottie Sandusky are both just lying when they say they are positive that Jerry never took another boy into the Penn State lockers after Tim Curley spoke with him in 2001.

In 2005, Ray Gricar, the district attorney who decided not to press charges against Sandusky in 1998, goes missing and is presumed dead. Even though 1998 was a non issue, there are times when it is convenient to imply that Gricar's death somehow had something to do with Sandusky (after all, it gives the whole cover-up theory a lot more bite). However, actually making a remotely logically or evidence-based connection to anything related to Penn State or Sandusky just doesn't seem to be worth the effort and neither the OAG nor Louis Freeh even try, presumably because this possibility wasn't salacious enough.

Finally, after either quite a lull in his sexual abuse activities or a ratcheting up of the efforts of both Penn State and The Second Mile to keep Sandusky's crimes under wraps (while there isn't even one allegation of any sort of a payoff, except for possibly Penn State football tickets during a time period when they weren't very good, there was, of course the murdering of the district attorney), an accuser starts to come forward against Sandusky in 2008.

At the age of 64, for first time in his life, someone is claiming that Jerry Sandusky sexually assaulted them.

"Perfect Sense": The Full "Conventional Wisdom" Narrative of the PSU "Scandal"

Part 2 of 3

Aaron Fisher (later known as "Victim #1") at first tells his high school and a caseworker that nothing much happened between him and Sandusky and that there was no sex. The school, clearly because they don't care about their students being sexually abused and have nothing to fear if they wrongly disbelieve an accuser, at first don't seem to trust Aaron. However, with the help of a therapist who would later co-write his book (and, totally coincidentally, also treat the only other pre-arrest accuser who claimed a sex act), Aaron finally tells an ever-evolving story of Jerry forcing him to engage in oral sex.

The experts, who we know could never be wrong, begin to believe he is telling the truth. This despite Aaron eventually telling two outlandish stories which turn out to be totally false. One occurs when he claims to be attacked in a school bathroom where the surveillance cameras prove no one else was there with him. The other is when he theorizes that Jerry Sandusky caused his near fatal car accident by either sabotaging his very old car, or running him off the road directly, neither of which the police found any evidence to support.

A non CSA "expert" is not qualified to evaluate someone's credibility based on such pedestrian criteria. You must understand the dramatic impact being a CSA victim has on your ability to tell the truth. You must also realize that the only time we know for sure that a CSA victim is telling the truth is when they say they are a CSA victim. Everything else they say, no matter how inaccurate, is simply more evidence that they are indeed a victim of CSA.

Hopefully, this all makes perfect sense. If not, just ask Jim Clemente.

The fact that Aaron keeps changing the timeline of when this happened (including claiming it occurred well after he first went to his school to complain about Jerry) and doesn't have even one specific date, should also not be seen as an indication that his story is lacking credibility. Remembering exactly when local legends force you to have oral sex is a very difficult thing to do and, as a teenage track star, there was no way for Aaron to keep Jerry from doing this to him or to stop continually coming back to see Jerry. The lure of Nike shoes was just simply too strong, even for a kid who was not destitute.

Making this sequence of events even more remarkable is the fact that, according to Victim 9 (who didn't realize the horror of his abuse until after Penn State started firing people and taking responsibility for Sandusky's "crimes") Jerry, now in his 60s, is abusing both Fisher and Victim 9 at the same house on the very same weekends. Of course, Dottie easily being able to hear everything which happens in the basement bedroom from the kitchen (at least when she isn't at church) is irrelevant, because we know she is in on the whole thing. But most incredibly, Jerry is not only able to muster the sexual energy for these horrific acts (Victim 9's story is by far the most graphic, though he oddly never takes the medical examine which could have easily proven that his claims were plausible) but, being the criminal mastermind, he is also somehow able to keep Fisher and Victim 9 from ever knowing each other!

Jim Clemente really may have *underestimated* this guy!

Sandusky's abuse of Fisher goes on for years (though it is tough to know exactly how long due to Fisher's changing timeline and the remarkable lack of detail in his book) despite the fact that Aaron is also a wrestler who bragged to his friends constantly about how strong and tough he was. Strangely, there was never any allegation of drugs, alcohol or physical abuse to explain how Jerry got Aaron (who is clearly very heterosexual and has had many girlfriends, though he recently broke up with the mother of his child) to go along with these acts physically. It is also not known why Aaron kept going back to see Jerry perhaps 100 times without telling anyone at all, even his mother. However, that is a question only asked by people who hate children, revere football too much, and are not experts in child sexual abuse (as are any questions regarding the seemingly amazing coincidence that Aaron's former stepfather would end up, just as Sandusky foreshadowed to his lawyer, being charged with being a monster pedophile and molesting his own child).

Finally, once Aaron begins to tell his story of abuse, Jerry is asked to come in for an interview with a very young female caseworker. Jerry's attorney urges him not to do so (supposedly because he fears he has nothing to gain and knows Jerry isn't a great talker) but Jerry, emboldened by having gotten away with his crimes for so long decides to needlessly go in for the interview against his attorney's wishes. There is just no way that Jerry decided to do this simply because he knew he had done nothing wrong and wanted to clear things up. Remember, Jim Clemente says that he is in the top 1% of pedophiles.

During the interview Jerry allegedly makes several statements consistent with him having inappropriately touched Fisher, but he firmly denies any sexual abuse. The young caseworker will later tell the *Philadelphia Inquirer* that she knew as soon as Jerry denied the sexual abuse that he had to be guilty. Who knew that young/inexperienced people were so incredibly smart (though please don't conclude that if he had *admitted* sexual abuse, that this would have meant that she would then know he was innocent)?!

Eventually, the first of what would be multiple grand juries is empanelled to investigate Fisher's claims against Sandusky. Fisher testifies twice before a grand jury and both times things going very poorly. He can't tell his story either time and ends up in tears. His abuse at the hands of the horrible monster Sandusky is just too much for him to bear. Finally, in his third effort Fisher is able to read a statement into the record with his therapist there to support him, which was just the only fair way to handle his testimony (especially since there was no cross-examination in the grand jury).

With the exception of Jonelle Eshbach, who is Aaron Fisher's champion within the attorney general's office, even the investigators themselves don't seem to take Fisher very seriously during this time. This is probably because only a woman can fully understand the culture of male athletic locker rooms and the remarkably strong psychological barriers heterosexual males have to engaging in homosexual sex acts.

With the grand jury not yet "buying" Aaron Fisher, their activity almost comes to a halt until suddenly, with the mysterious emergence of Mike McQueary, it explodes with new witnesses (The tip comes via a very vague email to a DA not on the case, who later becomes "gal-pals" with Sara Ganim, and from a former Baltimore police officer based on an internet chat room discussion from several years before. You know, just normal stuff.)

In late 2010, McQueary gets a call from the woman who was then his wife telling him that investigators want to talk to him. According to reporting by ESPN's Don Van Natta which was censored from his profile of McQueary, he at first panics and thinks that this must be about pictures he recently sent of his penis through a Penn State phone to a woman not his wife. When he first meets with investigators, McQueary says nothing about having seen an assault in the shower. Finally, three weeks after initial contact, McQueary, in his lawyer's office, provides a written statement indicating he had seen an assault, though for some odd and surely irrelevant reason he ends up getting the date/month/year of the event wrong.

Anyone who thinks that the penis pictures (or his college football gambling for that matter) may have played a role in McQueary being vulnerable to being manipulated by desperate investigators who told him of Fisher's accusations and asked him for help, is clearly a lunatic. This is obviously why ESPN censored out this information which Van Natta bragged he would be reporting (as opposed to protecting the narrative they had previously constantly reported; we know that ESPN is a top-notch journalistic organization which never molds stories for a particular agenda).

Eventually, Joe Paterno, Graham Spanier, Tim Curley and Gary Schultz all get subpoenas to testify to the grand jury. Weirdly, Paterno apparently thinks so little of the seriousness of this situation that he has his unaccomplished son Scott Paterno take care of most of his representation on the matter. Even stranger, none of the other three even hire their own lawyers and instead rely on Penn State's counsel Cynthia Baldwin to represent them (though she now claims she wasn't representing them at all, but the judge in the case says that doesn't mean they were "unrepresented," which makes perfect sense).

Since we now "know" that there was a massive cover-up here, it seems difficult to understand why each of them didn't fully "lawyer up," at this point. This is especially true since they had to know that McQueary had gone "rogue" on the cover-up (which is REALLY strange because, as previously stated, he would later not even allege being *part* of a cover-up in his lawsuit against Penn State).

But even odder than the lawyer circumstances is the nature of the testimony each of them gives. Each of their four testimonies is at least somewhat different from all of the others. Apparently the best way to do a cover-up is not only to articulate your strategy via e-mail and allow the only witness to say whatever he wants, but also to make no effort whatsoever to coordinate your stories.

The most "creative" moment of the cover-up clearly comes during the testimony of Gary Schultz, who is now retired from Penn State, but presumably is still part of the cover-up. When asked what McQueary meant when he said he saw "horseplay" in the shower. Schultz, in an act of utter "brilliance," incorrectly interprets the question and volunteers (twice) that he *imagined* that McQueary *might* have meant the grabbing of genitals. Yes, because nothing is more effective in maintaining a cover-up of child sex abuse than needlessly suggesting under oath in a grand jury that you imagined the key witness might have been communicating to you that there was harmless genital grabbing of a young boy involved!

Joe Paterno, on the other hand, provides a tentative bombshell when he says that McQueary had indicated to him that what he had seen was of a "sexual nature." How this admission against self-interest is remotely consistent with Paterno being part of a cover-up is a question that is not to be asked by the media because it would be insulting to all victims of child sex abuse both in the past and in future. Surely Paterno was forced to tell the self-incriminating "truth" here because no one would have given the benefit of the doubt to

an 84-year-old legend if he had simply said he couldn't recall the content of a short conversation which took place in a year which everyone involved mis-remembered.

It should also be noted that there is no other possible explanation for Paterno saying ten years later that he was originally told it was a "sexual nature" than for that to be 100% true. The fact that the word "sex" does not appear in the notes of either his interview with the PSU counsel or the police (both held *before* his grand jury testimony), is something only noticed by nut jobs and lunatics who care more about football than stopping a child molester. It's not like the OAG, Mike McQueary, or even his own son/lawyer Scott Paterno had any incentive for Joe's testimony to be of a "sexual nature," or that the head coach had any close contact with these people leading up to his testimony..

At this point, the prosecution finally had their coveted "non victim" witness and he was at least partly backed up by the most respected man in the state, Joe Paterno. However, they still decide not to get an indictment and/or arrest Sandusky for *ten* more months. Weirdly, the media has never thought this was a fact worth even mentioning, so clearly it is not relevant.

Now some "JoeBots" may jump to the highly inappropriate conclusion that Paterno shouldn't be held responsible for not going to the police back in 2001 when, even after all the key parties actually *testified to a grand jury* there was *still* no indictment (for the record, that grand jury would *never* indict Sandusky, probably because they were all Penn State football fans who were corrupted by the football culture). Such an assessment would be totally misguided because you are forgetting that Joe Paterno was a God and that a God is a more powerful/efficient law enforcement mechanism than the office of the attorney general of Pennsylvania. Duh!

Not only isn't there an indictment at this time, but the prosecution still doesn't seem really all that confident at all. This was obviously just because they know that taking on Jerry Sandusky, a powerful former volunteer high school football coach, will require an extremely compelling case. Despite Joe Paterno going all "rogue" on the cover-up in his testimony, Jerry is still somehow keeping almost all of his abuse victims quiet through the use of Nike gear and football tickets (though football season is over at this critical juncture of the investigation). He even somehow gets his adopted son Matt, who he had previously abused for years, to testify strongly on his behalf to the grand jury.

In order to keep track of whom he has abused, Jerry makes a list of Second Mile kids and puts asterisks next to those he has molested (though, strangely, some who are marked never claimed to have been molested, so perhaps this was just his "crush" list). He also makes sure the list is easily findable when authorities finally decide to get a warrant to search his house. Remarkably, the seemingly technology-inept Sandusky, who didn't even realize Aaron Fisher had "stolen" his phone to create a fake "hostage" video on it, doesn't get rid of his "victim list" but he does somehow manage to remove every shred of pornography from his computer (in a case where many of those who investigated it WILL have blatant pornography found on theirs, which is nothing but a humorous and irrelevant side note!).

Again, these are simply the things you must be able to do to make Jim Clemente's "All-Pro" pedophile team.

Thankfully for the prosecution, there is a whiz kid journalist to come in and save the day by solving their problem of a lack of accusers. Sara Ganim, at the age of 24 and after only three months on the job at a tiny

newspaper, is somehow miraculously able to learn of confidential grand jury information and writes an article outlining the case against Sandusky. This was obviously the act of a journalistic prodigy (whose incredible powers have simply been on hiatus since she went to CNN, and she just simply decided to never write a book about cracking the biggest scandal in the history of college sports, because Pulitzer Prize winners don't usually do that sort of thing).

If you somehow think that this was actually a situation where a desperate prosecution simply fed a career-minded and easily-manipulated young reporter this information so that she might put a "Craig's List Ad" in the local paper so that more accusers might come forward, you must be a Penn State season ticket holder who sees the world through blue and white coke-bottle glasses.

According to what the prosecution *stipulated* to at trial, Ganim was indeed very eager to help make sure that "justice" was done in this case (surely not out of any self-interest). She was so enthusiastic that she actually contacted the mother of Victim 6 and told her that if more accusers weren't found that the case was going to be dropped (remember, cases like these are really tough to prove, so sometimes the rules need to be bent).

It is purely a coincidence that four of the six "known" accusers in the grand jury presentment were all pictured, along with Victim 6, in Jerry's book. After all, Jerry put that photo in the book so that someone would finally catch him (though, weirdly, his co-author on the book doesn't remember Jerry making any special request about that particular photo and says it was a fluke that it even got published). Only a lunatic could think that prosecutors simply used the book to mine for victims and that those who were within the sphere of Victim 6's mother just happened to be the most accommodating (it's not like the mother had a daughter, one of two girls she sent to Penn State after she claims the university took part in a cover-up of her son's molestation, who is a party-hard bikini model and who could have been used as a recruiter, though oddly one of her daughters is mentioned in Kathleen Kane's Report as having testified regarding contact with other accusers).

Despite the fact that the greater State College area now knows that Jerry Sandusky is under a grand jury investigation of child sexual abuse, at least 80-85% of those who would eventually come forward to make a claim against Penn State remain totally silent. According the Kane/Moulten Report several years later, many interviews with possible victims occur in September 2011 ("Victim 2," Allan Myers, would be one of them) but yield no desired results. The remarkable power of Nike shoes and the occasional Penn State football ticket is able to maintain the cover-up even after Joe Paterno, the God of State College, has turned on it (or, according to Frank Fina, was never actually involved to begin with).

Thus is the incredible power that the former assistant college football coach, former volunteer assistant high school coach, and former charity founder/fundraiser still held over his vast array of victims. Obviously this was a far stronger power than celebrity multi-millionaire Bill Cosby had over his alleged victims when the media finally decided it was okay to report on that case, without even a grand jury involved.

One possible notable exception to this phenomenon is Victim 4, who in mid-2011 finally admits that Jerry had forced him into clear sex acts. He does so only after his own lawyer (please don't ask why he needed a lawyer at this juncture) conspires with investigators to lie to him to get him to say this. The fact that this was caught on tape (because it was accidentally recorded when they thought the recording was off) will

eventually give the media some nice comic relief during the trial, but in no way should be seen as significant or an indication of how the rest of the case was created.

Rather odd, but surely irrelevant, is the fact that none of those close to Sandusky are remotely convinced by the news of the grand jury investigation that he is indeed a pedophile. Instead, everyone rallies around him and several of his former Second Mile kids write letters to the editor in local newspapers strongly defending him.

One of them who gets at least two letters published is, bizarrely, Allan Myers. His letter asks people to listen to Jerry's strongest supporter, Matt Sandusky. He also urges readers to look into the backgrounds of those who making what he says are false allegations against Sandusky (weirdly, even though Sandusky is revered as a powerful "vice-God" in the area and would have enormous credibility if he chooses to go on a "Bill Cosby/Michael Jackson" style attack against the accusers, neither he nor his lawyer make any attempt at all to smear anyone either publicly or privately).

There is also ample support for Jerry at The Second Mile charity itself where, if there had been suspicions or an overt cover-up, you would think that people would, to mix a metaphor, immediately see the writing on the wall and run for the hills for self-protection.

Instead, Bruce Heim, a founder and major funder of The Second Mile, and one of the wealthiest people in State College, decides to take Sandusky golfing, very publicly, along with Allan Myers (who he surely had to know was "Victim 2" and had been horribly molested) and future PSU-BOT member Ryan McCumbie. This is yet another example of Sandusky's incredible power over people and his ability to make them do things which are *very* much not in their self-interest. Pedophiles really are magic!

So without their hoped for flood of new victims or anyone from Jerry's inner circle coming forward to help their case, the prosecution has a tough choice to make. Do we go to war against a former local legend with no physical or hard evidence and only one non-victim witness in an episode without a victim willing to say they are a victim? Or, do we allow someone we are sure is a monster child molester to continue to roam free?

They decide that, in order to move forward, they need more from Joe Paterno. His grand jury testimony is rather vague and uncertain. If they arrest Sandusky and then Paterno backs off of his story of being told of a "sexual" act in 2002 (even though it was really 2001), then the whole case will fall apart. To rectify this, they interview Paterno again in his home in late October of 2011.

This time, he is there only with his son Scott Paterno. This is fortuitous for "justice" because Scott is super smart (unlike his father whom he described in the movie "Happy Valley" as "naive" and in *Sports Illustrated* as lacking "understanding") and since he was a Republican lobbyist at the time he had no conflicts of interest when it came to this investigation which was led by a new Republican administration. Also, unlike his father and his brother Jay, Scott didn't know Sandusky at all, so this freed him up to see the real truth of this matter faster than anyone else (Jay will later illustrate Scott's thirst for the truth on page 344 of his book when he revealed Scott scolded him for truthfully contradicting an accuser during an media appearance).

This time, almost ten months after being tentative, Paterno is FAR more confident in his backing of Mike McQueary and his story. Obviously, the 84-year-old legend now simply remembers what happened much more clearly, though, oddly, there is no indication that he now knows what year in which that infamous conversation happened. Surely the fact that Scott has been a most ardent defender of Mike's story has nothing to do with him having had anything to do with why his father/client backed McQueary so strongly that day (or vice versa).

At this point, the OAG decides that, with Paterno locked into his story backing up McQueary, they can safely go ahead and press charges against Sandusky knowing that the most respected man in the state can't cause them any problems. They choose to finally pull the trigger of "justice" by not only charging Sandusky with child molestation, but also Curley and Schultz with essentially covering it up (clearly one of them will "flip" on the other, or on Spanier, so there is no need to indict the soon-to-be former PSU president as of yet).

To be clear, only a "lunatic" would think that indicting Curley and Schultz has more to do with protecting McQueary's perceived credibility than it does with the actual belief that there really was a cover-up. After all, the "speed" with which those charges will be prosecuted in court shows a real zeal for justice on the part of the OAG.

When Sandusky is arrested and the grand jury presentment is leaked, his lawyer and inner circle are completely shocked by the McQueary and Victim 4 allegations of actual sexual sex acts. Sandusky, the criminal mastermind, has somehow been able to keep even his closest friends and advisors totally in the dark about them (please don't ask why Joe Paterno or other Penn Staters don't give Sandusky a heads up on what was going on since they were all part of the same cover-up). So in the dark that the boy, now a Marine, from the McQueary episode (who you would think would have been prepped and ready to be immediately presented to the public to refute McQueary's allegation, especially since he had just been interviewed by investigators two months ago) is completely shocked and waits a couple of very critical days before voluntarily coming into Joe Amendola's office with his mother to provide a statement.

In the midst of a growing media firestorm everyone starts to fend for themselves (there has never been a better illustration of Ben Franklin's famous adage, "We shall all hang together, or surely we will all hang separately"). Graham Spanier is perhaps the lone exception to this as he strongly defends Curley and Schultz without depriving Sandusky of basic due process.

However, instead of being seen as a sign that perhaps the public and media should wait until all the facts come out, the media keenly sees through this transparent and desperate attempt by Spanier to maintain the cover-up. Similarly, in a sure sign of the State College football "culture," the city's mural almost immediately paints over the depiction of Jerry Sandusky.

It becomes almost instantly very clear that Spanier's job is jeopardy because the PSU-BOT feels as if he misled them about the severity of the situation and because the former child abuse victim supposedly didn't focus enough on expressing sorrow for the victims (because it is well known that declaring accusers to be "victims" and acknowledging that crimes actually did occur, based on only a leaked grand jury presentment, couldn't ever endanger anyone's right to a fair trial, or be remotely inaccurate). Obviously, this lack of preparation could not have been because Spanier was sandbagged by a Republican administration which

saw him as an enemy. It has to be a tragically failed deception (although even Joe Amendola was also totally shocked by the nature of the charges).

As for the media, they almost immediately begin focusing on Paterno and his apparent lack of action to stop a “known” pedophile (Though, somehow, *Sports Illustrated* makes absolutely no news mention of this in their first edition after Sandusky’s arrest and the leaking of the grand jury presentment. Meanwhile, future Pulitzer Prize winner Ganim writes on day one of the story that Paterno is being “praised” for his handling of the situation, which is rightfully never mentioned in the media ever again). The fact that at this time not even one person in the case has ever spoken publicly or been cross-examined, while seemingly a sign that we don’t “know” there even *is* a pedophile yet, is not seen as remotely troubling. After all, the news media would never conclude something this serious so fast unless there was zero chance that they were wrong.

Right?

"Perfect Sense": The Full "Conventional Wisdom" Narrative of the PSU "Scandal"

Part 3 of 3

When Joe Paterno's press conference is cancelled (it was incorrectly reported at the time that Spanier cancelled it, but there seems to be little significance to the fact that this was actually done by ardent Paterno-critic John Surma), the media correctly perceives this as a sign that Penn State is implicating Paterno in wrong-doing. Obviously if Penn State is saying that Paterno did wrong then there can be no other remotely logical interpretation of that action than to conclude that he *must* have done something *really* terrible (the fact that he is praised three days earlier in the newspaper of record by an attorney general source must have just be an aberration not worthy of much attention).

Feeling enormous media pressure and sensing his father is in big trouble, Scott Paterno decides to shatter the cover-up (that's the only explanation, right?) and throws Jerry under the bus by saying a prayer for the "damaged" "victims," on television, on the Paterno front lawn. There is now no longer even a hint of worry in the media that they might be rushing to judgment against Sandusky, because clearly the Paternos would know if he was innocent and they would defend him if he was. This is an excellent assessment by the news media because, much like with themselves, there is no chance that Scott Paterno could be mistaken, or simply playing a really bad/desperate strategic political game.

Once the media gets what they want and they pressure Penn State into firing Spanier and Paterno (there could never have been any other political reasons why those on the PSU-BOT would have wanted either of them gone), this is seen as an obvious guilty plea on behalf of everyone involved. After all, there is just simply no other reason Penn State would cave so quickly with such dramatic action unless they knew they were all guilty of something really awful. This is especially true when the person announcing the decision, John Surma, had often told Spanier he wanted Paterno fired and also had a brother who once lived with Jerry Sandusky (so he had no personal agenda or any reason to fear the media turning on him or the huge company he heads).

As would become clear as the story unfolded, the members of the PSU-BOT were all very smart, well-informed, courageous and trustworthy individuals. Also, having a conference call (with Paterno's biggest supporter on a cell phone in an airport) led by the governor whose AG office just filed the charges, with no debate, in the middle of media firestorm with a nationally-televised home football game just days away, is obviously a set of circumstances from which nothing ill-considered could possibly happen.

Paterno, after being denied a hearing, is informed of his firing on a cell phone (just as any God would be). Just as any good Catholic would if they had been publicly humiliated by having their cover-up of a pedophile blown up, Paterno smiles and waves at the crowd of cheering students outside his house, all while telling them to go home and study.

On the same day in which these decisions are made, Allan Myers, the person who claims (as does his attorney Andrew Shubin, as well as Jerry and Dottie Sandusky) that he is the person Mike McQueary saw

in the shower with Jerry Sandusky back in 2002 (later to be determined 2001) comes into the office of Sandusky's defense attorney with his mother. His statement to an FBI-trained former police officer is a very strong denial that anything sexual between him and Sandusky ever happened. He even appears to give important details of the episode which were not publicly known at that time.

However, since we all "know" that there is no way that McQueary would say something untrue or that Penn State would fire a legend and a respected school president if he had, Myers *must* be lying. It is just far more likely that he is part of a very elaborate set up on the part of Jerry and Dottie Sandusky and that the "real" boy in the shower that night decided to never come forward (and that Jerry and Allan knew he wouldn't come forward) because he just didn't care about obtaining justice for his rape, or having someone else pretend to be him for millions of dollars.

That makes perfect sense, right? We know it does because Sara Ganim told us it did and the rest of the media rightly decided to never even look into it.

In the aftermath of the Paterno firing, Penn State immediately starts to beg the world for forgiveness. They hold a massive candle-light vigil on campus for the "victims" and say an extended prayer for them just before the kickoff of their home game that Saturday in front of a huge national television audience. This is clearly a sign that the entire State College community must have always suspected Sandusky was a child molester and is only now fully accepting/admitting that obvious reality. We know this because had anyone been remotely innocent, the "football culture" at Penn State would have demanded that they be vigorously defended.

These are events which are desperately needed to feed the media monster demanding instant justice and contrition. They also make grieving people feel better about themselves. The fact that actual crimes have not yet been close to proven is irrelevant. We know they *eventually* will be proven and this kind of activity could never influence a judge or a jury in any way, or how they might perceive the accusers and the accused once a trial happens.

And even if it does, so what?! He was showering with boys and caused Joe Paterno to be fired! Who cares if he gets a fair trial?! We know he is guilty! Where are the pitchforks?!

Soon after the initial media explosion, Joe Amendola appears on NBC with Bob Costas. In a fit of apparent insanity (obvious because the only other explanation is that he actually thinks his client is totally innocent and doesn't reason to fear him answering questions), Amendola allows Costas to conduct a totally impromptu and completely unprepared for interview on the phone.

While Sandusky is given the strong impression that the interview will only be a couple of questions (which is all any even remotely sane attorney would have allowed so as not to destroy the allure of providing another news outlet at another time with *the* exclusive sit-down interview, which would certainly have been done on camera), this should not diminish how clearly his answers to Costas prove his guilt.

After all, when asked whether he was sexually attracted to young boys, Sandusky actually thinks about the question and even repeats it before clearly stating that he was not! This makes it obvious that he **MUST** really be attracted to boys because everyone knows that someone who has been fighting to hide his

attraction to boys for at least four decades would be totally flummoxed and unprepared as to how to answer that question. This dead giveaway (dragged out of him via one simple question while on the phone) of his true pedophilic self is also perfectly consistent with the “criminal mastermind” narrative which has been created by the prosecution, the media, and Jim Clemente.

We now know that soon after the disastrous Costas interview that elements of the PSU- BOT, the Louis Freeh group, and the NCAA are already collaborating on how Penn State is going to be investigated and punished for their obvious transgressions. The fact that the emails show this occurred at a time period before anyone had ever testified publicly or been cross-examined and when the key incident was thought to have occurred in 2002 (as opposed to 2001), should be seen as a sign of the remarkable wisdom, competence, and efficiency of these groups to already be able to accurately figure out exactly where it is all going to lead.

Just before Joe Paterno dies, he makes a request that the truth of this whole matter be found. What seems strange about this statement is that, at this time, he is not being accused of a full “cover-up” and neither really is “Penn State.” He has no idea what Louis Freeh is going to conclude in his report because he was never even interviewed for it.

While logic might dictate that this statement is an indication that he had doubts about the Sandusky narrative (why else would he be clearly indicating that the “truth” had yet to be found?), it seems more likely that Paterno, having taken part in a cover-up, anticipated the *future* allegations against him and wisely planted that pre-death statement as a preemptive strike against them. That’s just what Gods do.

These Penn Staters were really good at this cover-up stuff, its just too bad for them that they didn’t realize they shouldn’t use work email owned by a state institution.

After Paterno’s death, Tim Curley releases a statement praising Paterno for his “honor and integrity.” Now, on the surface, this may seem odd for someone who was apparently forced by Paterno to engage in a cover-up of child molestation which destroyed his career, reputation and, possibly, life. However, one must keep in mind Paterno’s God-like stature and, even though he was dead and disgraced, Curley was simply still just terrified of him and Sue (and was too stupid to realize that such a statement would hinder his possible future legal defense of blaming it all on the dead guy).

Or, perhaps as Sandusky prosecutor Frank Fina will later say, maybe Paterno (the God of State College) just simply wasn’t part of the cover-up at all. The media doesn’t really need to pick a lane on this because the details don’t really matter when the evidence is so obviously “devastating.” Fina could still be wrong about this remarkably important point and it not cast any doubt on the rest of his narrative or conclusions. Complex math equations often conclude with the right answer even though one of the first numbers is computed incorrectly.

It also makes perfect sense that Penn State could engage in a decade-plus long cover-up related directly to football and for Joe Paterno *not* be involved. Right?

Sandusky’s trial proceeds at record speed. This is obviously because the evidence against him and the desire for justice is just so overwhelming that there is no need for any sort of unnecessary delays. Bolstered

by two new “victims” who come forward after his arrest (culled as the cream of the crop from a couple of dozen who coincidentally come forward after it is reported that Penn State will be on the hook for up to \$100 million), the prosecution gets their trial just seven months after the indictments and just four months after providing the defense with their first massive batch of discovery.

The trial begins with Matt Sandusky sitting next to Dottie in the courtroom (since we will later find out from Matt that Dottie “knew” Jerry had molested him, this decision shows just what an insane sociopath Dottie really is). At this point Matt has already testified in the grand jury on his adopted father’s behalf, strongly supported him publicly, and fought in court against his ex-wife for his kids to see Jerry after his arrest.

However, despite having dealt with this issue extensively for an extended amount of time, when Matt sees the first witness testify it hits him like a lightning bolt that he too had been abused by Jerry about twenty years ago (he would later tell the documentary film “Happy Valley” that he knew before this point that he was a “liar” and a “coward,” but that just must have been an incorrect repressed memory retrieved from his therapy sessions). Matt’s entire adopted family claims that he came home that day and declared that he could get up on the stand and “lie” just like Victim 4, and one member of the family says Matt tried to convince them to join him in his victim scam, but they are not credible because their father is obviously a monster pedophile and the news media rightfully ignores their statements condemning Matt.

The trial goes incredibly fast (which is always a good sign that justice is being done) and somehow takes only two weeks. The accidental tape of investigators conspiring with Victim 4’s lawyer to lie to the accuser in order to get him to claim he had sex with Sandusky is put in its proper insignificant context by the news media and the judge. The news media is, after all, understandably concerned with making sure the “victims” who have endured so much already are not forced to experience any more pain and suffering.

The same goes to the prosecution’s stipulation that Sara Ganim contacted the mother of Victim 6 and urged her to find more accusers. We know that Ganim is a great reporter (the Pulitzer Prize people told us so), so this was obviously some sort of misunderstanding, or perhaps just the type of thing one must do in a case like this where justice is so incredibly tough to come by.

No harm, no foul. After all, the evidence is so completely overwhelming!

Allan Myers, having retained attorney Andrew Shubin, (the same lawyer Matt Sandusky will soon go to, which is surely a coincidence since Jerry, the criminal mastermind, had slipped up just before the trial and told him Allan had become a “victim”), does not make an appearance at the trial, but the media rightly never bothers with even wondering where the McQueary victim is. Thankfully, since there are no cameras in the courtroom, the news media, always a fair arbiter of what is right and just, is able to make sure that the public is not confused by these types of developments.

In the middle of the trial Matt asks Dottie to babysit for his kids (an act which was surely out of desperation since he now says Dottie is a “bad person” who was an accomplice in his molestation) and goes to the police to finally say that he was abused by Jerry. He tells them of only very mild abuse, but that must be simply because the really horrible memories are still being “repressed” (Since that isn’t actually a real thing, he was probably just too embarrassed to tell the police the truth. Telling Oprah Winfrey on national TV is a

venue which will prove to be obviously much easier, though, oddly, he completely blows his answer to her unfair question “how do we know you are telling the truth?”).

A tape of Matt's story to police gets leaked to NBC. Joe Amendola is nearly positive that it was his co-counsel Karl Rominger (who no longer has a law license) who leaked the tape to NBC females he was partying with. Of course, no one should conclude from any of this that Sandusky's defense may have suffered significantly from ineffective counsel. After all, the evidence against him is just so overwhelming.

Matt Sandusky's dramatic flip gets widely reported in the media as if Matt is an actual verified victim (why would a troubled adopted son of a guy clearly going to prison possibly lie?). Even though the jury is not sequestered, there is no chance that any of the jurors heard about this “bombshell” and that it impacted their perceptions of the case.

Remember, jurors, much like pedophiles, are magic! (So magical that one of them can admit beforehand that they are too close to the McQueary family to be objective about Mike and, thanks to a brilliant decision by Jerry Sandusky the criminal mastermind, still be kept on the jury!)

Because of Matt “flipping,” Joe Amendola decides to not have Sandusky testify. This could only be because he believed that Matt was a real victim and was afraid of him being able to testify if Jerry did (as opposed to the silly idea that they thought they had created reasonable doubt and didn't want to give the prosecution the chance to put the guy who they saw sit next to Jerry's wife take the stand against him).

During jury deliberations, Amendola makes several strange statements which indicate that he thinks his client is going to be convicted. This is clearly an indication that the case against Sandusky is airtight (as opposed to a result of Joe being overwhelmed by the magnitude of the injustice he realized was going to ensue after the jury's second question during deliberations made it clear to him that they were going to convict).

As the guilty verdicts (which don't include the infamous McQueary “rape” charge, which the media rightfully ignores) are announced, at least hundreds of loudly cheering spectators join the media mob outside the courthouse in welcoming the news. Historically, this is almost always a sign that the jury felt free to come to whatever verdict the facts led them to, and that justice has been done (just research the Salem Witch Trials).

Remarkably, less than a month later, Louis Freeh releases his report on what allegedly happened at Penn State with regard to the Sandusky scandal. This incredibly short amount of time (barely enough to type up and compile all of the materials) is indicative of the incredible proficiency of the Freeh group and not at all a sign that they had come to their conclusions well before the central event of the entire story had been adjudicated. Emails between the Freeh group and the Sandusky prosecution will later show that they were in contact with, and rooting for, each other, so everything was totally cool there.

Louis Freeh, who has an amazing record of being able to only take on investigations where the evidence leads him, coincidentally, to the exact conclusions that his employer wants, holds a press conference (on the slowest sports news day of the year) less than an hour after the report itself is available online. The press doesn't see not being able to possibly read even a significant portion of it by then as any sort of a

problem. After all, they never read anything anyway. Details aren't important. Only the narrative is. Remember, media members are super smart and never wrong, especially when what they are saying coincides with their self-interest.

The Freeh Report shows the same remarkable investigative instincts which served Freeh so well in the Richard Jewell Olympic Bombing case. He is able to crack the story without speaking to ANY of its primary characters. His investigation never interviews, among others: Jerry or Dottie Sandusky, Joe Amendola, Joe Paterno, Tim Curley, Gary Schultz, Allan Myers, or Mike McQueary (who Freeh refrains from speaking to at the request of the prosecution, which is totally not a conflict of interest and probably why he mistakenly referred to him as "McQuade" at the press conference). Similarly, he speaks to Graham Spanier only days before the report is issued and barely mentions him in it.

This is clearly the best way to get to the heart of any story because those who actually lived it are not able to provide any legitimate insights, especially when it comes to interpreting emails they wrote or received.

Freeh's conclusion that the crimes of Sandusky were concealed by on an overt cover-up on the part of Penn State and Joe Paterno (even though the Sandusky prosecutor will later directly contradict this notion, probably because he doesn't know what he is talking about, though that in no way should reflect on the credibility of the Sandusky prosecution) is thoroughly vetted for several seconds by the news media before being fully embraced. Freeh never does any interviews about his report, because it is almost always the best policy to let a lone press conference (where the questioners haven't read the report) speak for itself, even when your contract stipulates that you submit to an interview.

Penn State does not fight back against the report at all. In fact, the PSU BOT seems to embrace its conclusions immediately. The only way to properly interpret this is that the report must be true. There can not possibly be any reason why PSU BOT members would want Joe Paterno or Penn State to be seen as guilty of something they didn't do (It's not like they had just fired the two most prominent people at their school for possibly no reason and badly needed a justification of that action to placate an angry mob of "JoeBots").

So when Penn State decides to take down the Paterno statue the day before the NCAA is scheduled to weigh in on the controversy, this is clearly as a result of people who knew Paterno best simply admitting the obvious reality that those vague emails a dead man didn't even write were proof that he covered up for a pedophile. The fact that it was ordered by an obviously frightened Rodney Erickson who was totally in over his head and fearful that he might get accused in the cover-up (since he had signed Sandusky's seemingly shady retirement package), is purely coincidental.

Then the NCAA, less than two weeks after Freeh's Report is released, comes down incredibly hard on Penn State with unprecedented sanctions. Included is the stripping of 111 wins from Joe Paterno's career record. The fact that it appears that some key NCAA people never even read the Freeh Report and that Mark Emmert has continually mistakenly claimed that Paterno was not specifically punished by the sanctions, should in no way tarnish the credibility of those sanctions.

Neither should the reality that the NCAA would later chose to rescind all of the sanctions half way through the probationary period (including Joe Paterno's win record), or that emails and deposition testimony

indicating that the threat of a Death Penalty against Penn State was found to a complete bluff. These are simply indications of either how good a job Penn State has done to clean up the Paterno/Sandusky mess, or how incompetent (or wise) the NCAA is, depending on the day of the week and which narrative any particular media member prefers.

Remember, rescinding an historic punishment less than three years after it is issued, and before three key figures in the case are even tried, is totally based a legal technicality and has nothing to do with innocence or exoneration. If those three administrators are later found guilty that won't reflect poorly on the NCAA at all, so the likelihood of that must not have entered into the settlement equation.

At this point, with the cover-up blown apart and those involved all totally disgraced and utterly powerless, one might expect that the floodgates will open for people and evidence to come quickly flow forward to further substantiate this narrative. After all, that is what happened after Lance Armstrong and Alex Rodriguez were exposed, and they were still at least rich and famous (as opposed to dead or in prison like Paterno and Sandusky).

Curiously, nothing like this happens. Curley and Schultz don't flip on each other, or on Spanier (who is, in a weird coincidence, finally indicted just days before an election for a new attorney general, but months after Sara Ganim mistakenly/mysteriously informs him that he is going to be charged). Not one other person comes forward, despite a huge incentive to, and claims to have knowledge of this cover-up.

Mike McQueary sues Penn State, but doesn't make any mention of being forced to be part of a cover-up. No one at The Second Mile charity is charged or even really accused with anything. Former US Attorney General Dick Thornburgh concludes that the Freeh Report's assessments are not valid. Bob Costas changes his mind about them as well, while Freeh and Mark Emmert both dodge an interview with Costas (which the rest of the news media totally ignores, probably because Costas is not a big enough deal). Hall of Famer Franco Harris, while confronting him directly, exposes NCAA president Mark Emmert as being completely clueless about the facts of the case.

But it should be noted that these developments are not significant to the overall narrative of the story. We know this because the *New York Times* has not said that they were, and in some cases never even reported on them, which means, effectively, that they never actually happened (though the Times has, remarkably, referred to the case against Spanier as very possibly "fatally flawed," but that was likely an editing error).

As for Sandusky, for some strange reason, no one else in his family, against their self-interest, deserts him after this conviction (one of his adopted sons even gets a "Sandusky Forever" tattoo in response to Matt temporarily changing his name before realizing that Matt Davidson doesn't get headlines). Dottie Sandusky, even though her life would be far better where people don't know who she is, remains in State College and drives seven hours a week to see Jerry in prison (even though she "knows" he is guilty and he can do nothing for her). Sandusky's attorney, Joe Amendola, also very much against his self-interest, still insists Jerry is innocent. Many of Jerry's friends who had previously deserted him, slowly and tentatively begin to change their minds about his guilt.

Thus, once again, is the incredible power of the pedophile to fool people, even while in a maximum security prison and 100% of the media industrial complex completely against him. Remember, it's magic!

Sandusky does a prison interview with a little-known documentary filmmaker who is convinced he is guilty but that Paterno is not. Scott Paterno, without knowing anything at all about the content, intent, or dissemination outlet for the interview, calls the filmmaker in a rage and berates him for 15 minutes in a profanity-laced tirade without asking any him legitimate questions before hanging up on him.

This reaction is perfectly consistent with someone who really wants to find out what actually happened in all of this (as his father said he wanted) and not a person who only has a preconceived narrative which he is willing to put his stamp of approval on because of purely political and selfish considerations. It also doesn't suggest at all a fear that perhaps there is a lot more to the Sandusky story than he realized back when he effectively declared Jerry guilty in the days before Joe Paterno was fired.

As for the interview itself, contrary to the prosecution theory, Sandusky doesn't confess (not even when the filmmaker uses Jim Clemente's "guaranteed" formula for confession-creation after Sandusky has been in solitary confinement, with little sleep, in a maximum security prison). Nor does he, as urged by his attorney to do so if true, give any indication that there was a cover-up. Most remarkably, against perhaps the longest odds of any inmate in American history (assuming he is guilty) he remains incredibly optimistic that he will one day be exonerated.

This must simply be because the guy who coached successfully with Joe Paterno for 30 years, while also creating a massive charity, is *completely* insane.

Weirdly, in the three-and-a-half hour interview, Sandusky tells a story which actually makes sense and where most of it is eventually verified with not one clear lie being found. Even after the filmmaker who did the interview then calls him a pedophile on national television in a high-profile interview with Matt Lauer, Sandusky does, very much against his self-interest if guilty, a second interview with this same person, this time along his wife. Again, Sandusky isn't caught in any inconsistencies and, in a remarkable bit of acting, is brought to tears as he recalls the first moment he thought that he might be in real trouble: the reading of the verdicts (Dottie, also a great actress, simultaneously gives the same answer with tears streaming down her face).

Remember, even though Jim Clemente wasn't right about an imminent confession (or about the George Zimmerman, Amanda Knox, or Michael Jackson verdicts), he still must be correct about Sandusky being in the top 1% of all pedophiles. We know this because he apparently once worked for the FBI and has consulted on a popular television series (as well as claimed to be a CSA victim and was paid by Scott Paterno, all of which removes any bias on the topic which he might have).

The filmmaker, profoundly against his own self-interest, goes on the Today Show and CNN a second time, this time along with Dottie Sandusky, to declare that he now thinks (thanks to what he claims is having a lot more information) Sandusky is innocent. Dottie gets through three major media interviews (the first she has ever done in her life), with no restrictions and against clearly adversarial interviewers, and yet somehow is not remotely exposed as a liar or delusional, which is why the media rightfully tries to ignore this development. Later, she writes an op-ed piece (which is heavily edited and proceeded with a disclaimer that it is the paper's official position to not allowing any questioning of the Sandusky verdicts, which is obviously a policy designed to allow for only the truth to be told) in the paper which broke the whole story, attacking a documentary on the scandal and her son Matt's credibility.

Perhaps Dottie should also be added to Jim Clemente's All-Pro pedophile team!

An exhaustive investigation into the original investigation by the new attorney general of Pennsylvania Kathleen Kane finds that there was no evidence that corruption caused the extraordinary delay in charges being filed against Sandusky. However, there is no apparently no reason to conclude that this means that a simple lack of evidence was the real reason for the delay. We know this because no one in the media has even speculated that this could be true and that would just be too big of a story for them to possibly miss.

The investigation delay just happened. Period. There is no need to understand why. Now please go away and move along.

Speaking of delays, the trial for the Penn State administrators still has not come close to happening over three years after the original indictments. This is obviously because there hasn't been enough time for any of them to flip on the other. Also, the fact that Sandusky's trial and all of his appeals are already long over is an unfair and irrelevant comparison which should never be mentioned in the respectable media.

As for the victims, every single one of them (including Matt Sandusky and Allan Myers) either accepts a huge settlement from Penn State, or sues them. Weirdly, Victim 6 (who Sandusky claimed has a mother who was out for money from the start) has yet to accept a settlement because they are asking for a far higher dollar amount than the others. Neither does Victim 9, who most court observers agreed was easily the *least* credible accuser on the stand (and has now added to his story a lunch date with Joe Paterno and Jerry Sandusky together well after Jerry retired, which never actually happened, but the important part is that a victim of CSA *feels* like it happened).

The fact that not one of the victims ever actually testified that they were assaulted and that Penn State was remotely aware of them, is totally irrelevant to whether Penn State should be paying any of the victims without even a trial. The media said that Penn State is to blame and there can be no harm in them taking immediate financial responsibility. After all, since no one really knows exactly where the money is coming from that means it's effectively free. And having all the victims paid off without trials couldn't possibly harm the cause of truth because we already know exactly what happened here. Just look at that number of (anonymous and now rich) victims!

The vast majority of those victims do no interviews at all. Matt Sandusky ends up doing by far the most media and even stars in a documentary film where he tells one of at least four distinct versions of his story. However, he ignores an offer of \$10,000 to his foundation if he does an interview with John Ziegler (the "lunatic" documentary filmmaker) as well as an offer to appear with his mother Dottie in a joint major media interview. This, however, should not reflect on his credibility because they are terrible people who might somehow make him somehow look bad, even though the truth is clearly not on their side.

After an incredible amount of positive and free publicity, Matt's foundation for sex abuse victims runs a Tee-shirt fund-raising campaign with a modest goal of selling 500 shirts. According to their website, with just days left in the drive, a total of *six* people purchased the Tee-shirt. However, this is not an indication that people don't see Matt as credible, but rather that he is a victim of the nefarious Penn State football culture.

As for the accusers who actually testified to their abuse, remarkably only one ever speaks publicly (this clearly shows that their only goal was justice and not fame, which, as everyone knows, is the value system of most young people these days). Aaron Fisher writes a book (co-authored by his therapist), does one major interview with ABC's 20/20, does some public speaking (away from Pennsylvania) and then mostly goes away to determine, according to his Facebook page, which new fancy automobiles he should buy.

The "lunatic" filmmaker does interviews with both of the boys who, late in the grand jury investigation, were almost killed in a car accident where Fisher was the driver of the car. One of the boys, Brian Mauch (as well as his father), strongly dispute Aaron's trial testimony indicating that he thought his bed wetting was related to his abuse by Sandusky. They both say that they knew he had wet the bed at their house before Fisher ever met Sandusky and tried to contact the authorities to alert them to this reality. Brian also insists that he is 100% sure Fisher, who he had witnessed with Sandusky, is lying about being abused by him and says that Fisher's friends feel similarly.

One of the filmmaker's strongest supporters, Layton Harman, engages Fisher in a Facebook conversation about these revelations. As you read them in the order in which they took place, please don't misinterpret Fisher dodging seemingly simple questions, his extreme lack of specific memory consistent with his memories having been created by his therapist, his defense that the case "is over" and that therefore somehow none of this matters, and his almost immediate fear of serious questioning which causes him to withdraw after mysteriously saying to Harman, "I know what you are trying to do, I'm not stupid."

These are all reactions which can not be properly interpreted by a non "expert," and your human experiences are irrelevant when it comes to making any conclusions about the credibility of a victim of CSA. Only people whose careers are dependent on victims never being perceived as lacking credibility are allowed to evaluate such things. So, in that light, this interaction is presented for purposes of curiosity only:



Layton Harman

9:22pm

How come you said during the trial that your abuse at the hands of Sandusy caused you to wet the bed when Thairan Mauch and his dad said that's not true and that you use to wet your bed way before you even met Jerry because you would stay at their house all the time.

Also I believe in your book you tied your car accident to Jerry somehow, yet Thairan said that's a lie as well as he was in the car accident with you as well as another passenger.



Aaron Fisher

9:36pm

First let me say going to other ppl to ask about me is dumb noone will ever give u a straight answer especially ppl who will say they are "friends". You coulda came to me and asked. Now onto the questions. 1 the abuse happened for a long time. I was a young kid then and it tolled on me in more ways than anyone could possibly imagine. 2. The police were looking into the car accident. I wasn't speeding or texting or whatever else the and they were concerned about how a car... an oldsmobile station wagon with 300,000 miles on it could climb an incline like that at a speed great enough to do that much damage. (Not taken from police just what I heard). All I remember from that accident was a loud bang and then the car swerved and next thing I know im in the hospital. Whoever said any of this took things way outta context. Plus thairans dad wasnt very pleased with me because of the accident and as everyone knows accidents do happen its in everyday life... he however did not see it that way. I lost a good friend because of that. People tend to move forward with their lives but to me it seems ppl just wanna move back.



Aaron Fisher

9:48pm

Was that all you wanted to ask me about bud?



Layton Harman

10:35pm

Well John Ziegler wants to know if you would mind speaking with him at all



Aaron Fisher

10:37pm

Actually I do mind. You gave me the respect of coming to me. He however did not. I gave you the respect that you gave me.

Today



Layton Harman

1:38pm

Okay. But I still have three questions because I'm just having a hard time understanding somethings



Layton Harman

1:38pm

1) are the mauchs lying when they say you wet the bed well before meeting Jerry?

2) did you tell Tharian that you wet the bed because of stomach surgery and is that true?

3) so if you have zero indication that Jerry had anything to do with the car accident, how did that claim get in your book?



Aaron Fisher

3:03pm

1) they didn't know when I met him

2) I dont remember ever telling him why.

3) the book was written in 3 views, it happened to be an view that many ppl thought it. And it wasnt chasing or running off road it was tampering with vehicle that was the concern. (Again what I heard from many of people that claimed they heard it from state police). If I may id like to ask you maybe a few questions if thats alright?



Layton Harman

Go ahead

3:09pm



Aaron Fisher

What are you trying to understand?

4:04pm



Layton Harman

Whether I can believe what the Maucks said

4:18pm



Aaron Fisher

Not what I meant. This case has been over and done with. What are u trying to accomplish?... better terms

5:24pm



Layton Harman

I want to know if the maucks are lying and by you dodging simple questions about what they said I have to believe that they are telling the truth.

6:26pm



Aaron Fisher

I didnt dodge simple questions? U asked I answered. And why are you so concerned with them? And April and everyone else who knows or "knows" me? So lets just cut the bull and let me hear the truth

6:52pm



Layton Harman

Let's do this one by one. You didn't tell me how old you were when you met the Maucks

7:00pm



Aaron Fisher

7:18pm

U never asked. And I do believe it was my turn to ask the questions... so care to explain



Layton Harman

7:23pm

Actually yes I did, because if you answered question 1 it would give indication and answer to how old you were when you first met them. for the third time, I am trying to figure out if the Maucks are lying. You realize you are giving me no choice but to believe them, right?!



Aaron Fisher

7:33pm

How do I influence your choice on who to believe. Ur an adult. Now get this why are they so willing to talk to you and ur partner? I dont rightly care who ya believe but knowing facts on ur own is better than knowing opinions from everyone else. Im not gonna start a war of he said she said bull. What happened between thairan and I id in the past. 4 years in the past to be exact. They wanna keep preaching to the choir on a friday do be it but ive moved on with my life.



Layton Harman

7:37pm

You can influence my decision on who to believe by answering very simple questions like how old were you when you met the maucks?



Layton Harman

7:57pm

I do think your bed wetting started well before you met Jerry. That means your testimony was not correct. I also don't think you thought Jerry had anything to do with the car accident, and that means your book is incorrect.



Aaron Fisher

9:48pm

I dont rightly remember how old I was to be honest. When I was younger before I met sandusky I never really wet the bed. I honestly only remember doing it twice. Once at my grandparents home and i had far to much soda and I was up really late and once at Thairans after an all nighter and a half plus energy drinks trying to beat this video game. The wetting got worse after sanducky started to abuse me. Am I calling thairan and his family liars... no im not im just saying that they have told alot of ppl really exaggerated stories. Like I said before I can careless who you or john believe and some of the things ive seen from john and the stuff on you facebook wall already made it appointed that yall dont believe me or any of the other survivors. I know what happened and apparently so do alot of others. Im not gonna try and change your way of thinking cause its your choice. I will admit being called a liar does slightly piss me off, hell it pisses anyone off in any situation but ppl have to make their own choices and as long as its not in a disrespectful way towards me I dont rightly care. I know all of these messages can be cropped out and put in any order yall wanna put them in so I screen shot all of it and I copied them to a pdf file which I printed out 2 times. Like I said leave out content if its going to me and i

Friday



Layton Harman

7:34am

I appreciate your response, but it seems like a lot of your memories are very hazy here. The Maucks say you wet the bed many many times (before you met Jerry) and if you didn't wet it a lot, why would that come up at trial?! Since your memory on so much of this seems hazy, can you help me understand how Mike Gillum helped you find your memories of your abuse?



Aaron Fisher

7:52am

Ok ya know like I said I dont care who u believe. It seems like you already got ur mind set. Keep talking to them. Just like every other reporter trying to dig something thats not there up. It lewd nowhere for them and will do the same for you. I think we are done. Have yourself a pleasant day

Sent from Mobile



Layton Harman

8:14am

When did you first suspect that your former stepdad Eric Daniels was a child molester?



Aaron Fisher

8:27am

Not until a year and a half ago.



Layton Harman

8:41am

Ok, he was arrested only a year ago, but thanks for finally directly answering a question. I still have more questions/doubts than answers but I appreciate that you have responded to me. If you ever want to tell me the full truth of your experience with Jerry (or Eric) please let me know. The truth is very important.



Aaron Fisher

9:18am

Im sorry but what? Eric was abusive in a hitting and beating way. He never did anything that Sandusky done to me. The truth is important but whats the truth when someone who wasnt there calls you a liar?. I really do think we are done this time. Your gonna believe what you want regardless of what I say. I think im done wasting my time.



Layton Harman

9:24am

Speaking if what Jerry supposedly did to you, if he used wrestling to groom/abuse you, why would you post a video if you almost naked wrestling and say, twice, that wrestling brought back good memories for you?!



Aaron Fisher

10:12am

Wow u are something else aren't ya. Haha ok ill play along with your game. I posted a video of my brother and I just having fun. 2 you are an absolute idiot who doesnt read well or only sees what he wants. He didnt use wrestling to groom me he used that as his excuse when someone saw him.... almost naked really I had my shirt off haha look at ur profile pic bud ur "almost naked" I know what ur trying to do im not stupid



Layton Harman

10:27am

Tharian also said you were very proud of your strength and ability to fight off anyone who gave you a tough time. Was this not true? If it was true how come you didn't fight off old man Jerry? You never claimed he drugged or hit you, so how did this happen and why did you keep returning to his house?



Aaron Fisher

10:38am

Look up grooming. U are smart imma teach ya how to use it.

Sent from Mobile



Layton Harman

10:48am

.Grooming is when an abuser slowly gets you used to inappropriate contact and then usually ends in sex, are you really suggesting that you didn't have any control over whether you engaged in oral sex with Jerry and had no control over whether you returned to his house many times after that happened?! You were stronger and much faster than him when you were 13-15. How does this make sense?



Aaron Fisher

10:57am

It didnt happen all at once. And grooming also means making a victim feel as if they owe something. How doesn't it make sense ?

Sent from Mobile



Layton Harman

11:09am

Okay, so how did he make you feel like you owed him oral sex and why did you feel like you needed to keep coming back to him?



Aaron Fisher

11:32am

Like I said before and u cant read not everything happened all at once time it was drawn out. Thats how. Im gonna block you go talk to some more ppl. Get ur time lines right to. .. it was a pleasure talking to u



Layton Harman

11:34am

Yet, you Gave four, at least partially contradictory, timelines for your abuse by Sandusky.

Regardless of what you think of Fisher (who, coincidentally, changed his Facebook name just after this exchange), nothing should make you question the heart of the Penn State/Sandusky narrative. Even though he was the only real victim for the first two years of the investigation (and the only one to claim “sex” before the news of the grand jury investigation broke locally) and his claims were obviously used to “incentivize” others, including Mike McQueary, to come forward, he could be lying and it just wouldn’t have any impact on the credibility of the rest of the case. There is just no reason for any of those others to have said something which is not true. It’s not as if any of them (or their lawyers) could have predicted that there would be millions of dollars involved after it gets reported in the paper that a guy associated with two massive organizations is in the midst of a grand jury investigation. Right?

The idea that anyone could believe that Sandusky might not be a monster or that Penn State did not enable his crimes is simply proof of how strong and corrosive the football “culture” at Penn State still is and also just how good at this the Paternos and the Sanduskys are at this sort of thing. Just because Penn Staters have far more knowledge about this case than anyone else (because they actually care about it) is in no way an indication that their opinions are valid. We know this this is false because ESPN's Keith Olbermann has said that Penn Staters have no credibility because they are blinded by their football lust. Keith Olbermann would never say something which wasn't based in truth.

It is obvious that the only people who are truly credible on this matter are not the people who know the most about it, but rather those who got paid millions of dollars (victims, moms, lawyers, therapists, Louis Freeh, etc) or who got lots of positive attention (prosecutors, investigators, media members, key people at the NCAA and PSU BOT, etc) for their involvement in the case. This is usually a good rule by which to judge such things. It is also generally a good sign that the truth has prevailed when no disagreement is allowed in the media and when the part of the case on which everything else is based (here, the testimony of the victims) receives by *far* the least amount of scrutiny.

As you can obviously see, the narrative which has been clearly laid out here makes perfect sense and hopefully answers any questions you may have about what really happened in this story. It is really all quite simple and surely a far more sensible scenario than the alternative.

There is just no way that investigators, therapists and lawyers could convince financially-challenged young men from horrible backgrounds to tell false stories which got them enormous praise, no criticism/scrutiny, and more money than they ever dreamed of.

And if that ever did happen, it is just not possible that those in a liberal academic institution would panic, rush to judgment, fear being seen as politically incorrect, and then spend tons of other people's money to protect their own reputations.

And if that ever did happen, the lawyer son of the legend who said he wanted the truth to be known wouldn't decide to embrace that narrative because he was obsessed with protecting a story he prematurely bought into and placating the media in a ill-conceived gambit designed for a pardon.

And if that somehow ever did happen, the news media would surely expose that reality because they would care deeply about the truth and wouldn't fear breaking away from the false narrative that the rest of their pack had embraced so strongly.

And even if that ever somehow didn't happen, the court system would save the day because lawyers, witnesses, judges and juries are all immune from public/media pressure and misperceptions.

Right?